GOVERNOR'S ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR EXCEPTIONAL CITIZENS (GACEC) GENERAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING

7:00P.M., November 20, 2018 George V. Massey Station, Second Floor Conference Room 516 West Loockerman Street, Dover, DE

MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT: Nancy Cordrey, Cathy Cowin, Bill Doolittle, Ann Fisher, Tika Hartsock, Thomas Keeton, Mary Ann Mieczkowski, Beth Mineo, Bill O'Neill, Jennifer Pulcinella, Brenné Shepperson, Kim Warren and Laura Waterland.

OTHERS PRESENT: Guests: Tori McNamara, Christina School District; Helen Diskau, Jessi Wyatt and Jeanette Kernan, Child Development Watch South; Kim Rice, advocate/GACEC applicant; Jacqueline Seidman, Christina School District; Khalil Abdul-Majir, self-advocate and Janice Parson, Department of Education. Staff present: Wendy Strauss, Executive Director; Kathie Cherry, Office Manager and Sybil Baker, Administrative Coordinator.

MEMBERS ABSENT: Sue Campbell, Karen Eller, Terri Hancharick, Dana Levy, Carrie Melchisky, Robert Overmiller, Howard Shiber and Deanna Tyree.

Chairperson Ann Fisher called the meeting to order at 7:17 pm. Ann welcomed everyone to the November General Membership meeting. Ann reminded members to speak into a microphone for the benefit of those who may have difficulty hearing. A **motion was made and approved** to accept the November Agenda as submitted. A **motion was made and approved** to accept the October minutes with the correction previously submitted by staff. A **motion was made and approved** to accept the October financial report as submitted.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no public comments.

DOE REPORT

Mary Ann advised that first the DOE would do its presentation on Early Literacy and Multi-Tiered System of Support as part of the IDEA State Personnel Development Grant (SPDG). Janice Parsons, who recently joined the Department and is the manager of the SPDG gave the report. The presentation is attached for your reference.

Mary Ann gave her Department report which included information on the Division on Career Development and Transition National Awards (Delaware DOE received three awards) as well as SPDG Grant of 2012-2017 (now closed) and School-wide Positive Behavior Support Training. Her report also outlined many awards and accomplishments. The report is attached for your review.

CHAIR/DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Wendy reminded Council that there is no meeting in December. Wendy shared that the musical, Boundless was a huge success and was seen by over 600 people at Dover High School. The participants, most of whom are individuals with disabilities, did a fantastic job and the whole experience was very rewarding for them. Many have formed relationships that have held over from their time working on Boundless. George Tilson and some of the members of Boundless will be presenting at the Transition Conference on December 12, 2018. A portion of the video of the performance was reviewed. Wendy shared that it will soon be available on the GACEC website as well as on the DelDHub transition website. Wendy reported that the Heart 2 Heart Hugs campaign was once again kicking off at Central Middle School. The Secretary of the Division of Services for Children Youth and their Families (DSCYF) as well as the Mayor of Dover and I Heart Media representatives will be joining us for the kick off. Wendy encouraged members to attend on November 29, 2018 at 10am if they are available. At our budget hearing on November 7th, the GACEC asked for funding for a security system after the two incidents that took place this spring that involved break ins at Massey Station. By the end of December GACEC should finally, after many years of trying, be switched to the State of Delaware server. Wendy asked members to look at the legislative priorities that were passed out. Members were asked to mark their ballots with their selection for their top three priorities. The priorities are attached for your reference.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

ADULT TRANSITION SERVICES

Cathy Cowin shared that the committee went over its goals and had some discussion about them. Her committee had a couple of visitors who shared their perspective on the transition process for some students who they feel "fell through the cracks" when it came to getting the services they need. These are perhaps students who are trying to gain a diploma who are just finding the requirements outside of their reach. Thomas Keeton stated that several of the visitors shared that there are many times and situations when a litigator becomes involved and suddenly services are met. They discussed what can be done to make sure that these things can happen without the need to involve litigators, who many cannot afford. Cathy ended by saying that we need to work on what can be done to help those students succeed. Her guests shared that there is a real need for a curriculum to support those students who are falling into these types of gaps, such as students who do not meet the qualifications for special programs but just cannot on their own achieve success with the regular curriculum.

CHILDREN AND YOUTH

Bill shared that his committee went over their goals and discussed the progress that has been made. The group also compiled a list of speakers they would like to talk to and have compiled a list of items that they will need staff support with that will be shared with staff.

INFANT AND EARLY CHILDHOOD

Jen shared that her committee had visitors from Child Development Watch South including Helene Diskau, Jessi Wyatt and Jeanette Kernan. The group discussed the transition process for three year olds as the ECTA framework on the OSEP website. The group also discussed Parent as Teachers being a valuable resource for parents.

POLICY AND LAW

Laura Waterland of the Disability Law Program (DLP) shared the committee's decisions regarding the submitted legal memo. Laura noted some grammatical error and some definitions that are either overbroad or not clear in their intent. Laura also shared that they had reviewed some legislation regarding the discontinuance of plastic straws, DLP feels that this will be detrimental to individuals with disabilities who may rely heavily on plastic straws. The committee decided that since this is draft legislation no action would be taken.

Commentary in the legal memo on the regulations was as follows:

1. Proposed DHSS/DMMA Revisions to DSSM on MAGI Methodology, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 361 (November 1, 2018).

The Delaware Health and Social Services/Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance (DHSS/DMMA) proposes to amend the Delaware Social Services Manual (DSSM) regarding Medicaid MAGI methodology in order to clarify policies on special income counting rules for children and tax dependents. These policies are meant to align with the federal Affordable Care Act regulations. The proposed changes largely do track the relevant federal regulations, but they also contain an error and could benefit from additional clarifying language.

The amended section on definitions (Section 16100) explains that a tax dependent must be an individual's qualifying child or qualifying relative, but it fails to define the terms "qualifying child" and "qualifying relative," which have very specific definitions under federal regulations. In order to be considered a qualifying child or a qualifying relative, an individual must satisfy various tests. For example, a "qualifying child" must meet certain relationship, residence, age, and support tests.

The proposed regulations also include a new section on "special income counting rules for children or dependents claimed by someone other than a parent" (Section 16500.5). This section notes that a tax dependent's income is excluded from total household income if the tax dependent's income is below the tax filing threshold and the tax dependent is therefore not required to file a tax return for the current tax year. Under federal regulations, this *exclusion applies whether or not the tax dependent actually files a tax return*, but this language is not included in the proposed language for the DSSM. The Community Legal Aid Society Inc. (CLASI) recommends adding this clarification. A similar clarification is already included in the preceding section, Section 16500.4, concerning situations in which a child's income is excluded from total household income. Including the additional language in Section 16500.5 would thus also improve consistency between these sections.

The last sentence in Section 16500.5 reads: "When determining the total household income of a child or dependent who is not living with a parent, the MAGI-based income is always counted in determining the child or dependent's eligibility, even if the income is below the tax filing threshold" (emphasis added). This sentence misstates the rule it is trying to convey. For tax dependents, their MAGI-based income is always counted in determining their own eligibility when determining the total household income of a tax dependent who is claimed by someone other than a parent not a tax dependent "who is not living with a parent." The two situations are not equivalent. For example, a 21-year-old niece could be living with her unemployed mother, and they both could be claimed as tax dependents by an aunt. In this scenario, the tax dependent is living with a parent, but she is claimed as a dependent by someone other than a parent.

Finally, the above section fails to note that any exceptions exist to the general rule. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlights one such exception: "In the event that such a tax dependent's household (established using the non-filer rules described at 435.603(f) (3)) includes the tax dependent's parent, the tax dependent's income would be excluded from his own household income." The DSSM should explain this exception and any others that may apply.

In conclusion, the committee suggests Council should ask DHSS/DMMA to further revise proposed sections 16100 and 16500.5 regarding MAGI methodology. DHSS/DMMA should define the terms "qualifying child" and "qualifying relative." Additionally, Section 16500.5 should include language clarifying that the exclusion at issue applies whether or not the tax dependent actually files a tax return. This section should also explain that when determining the total household income of a tax dependent *who is claimed by someone other than a parent* (not "who is not living with a parent"), the tax dependent's MAGI-based income is always counted in determining his/her own eligibility. Lastly, the section should note any exceptions to the general rules.

2. Proposed OCCL Proposed DELACARE Regulations Re: Early Care and Education and School-Age Centers, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 379 (November 1, 2018).

The Office of Child Care Licensing (OCCL) proposes to amend the Delacare regulations concerning the health, safety, well-being, and positive development of children who receive care in early care and education and school-age centers. This memo will focus on the amendments to the sections on positive behavior management and administration of medication. The latter changes are meant to ensure that licensed centers comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) by meeting the needs of children with disabilities who require medication while in child care.

Positive Behavior Management:

In the section on positive behavior management (Section 20.3), OCCL has added the requirement that staff members should "adapt behavior management practices for a child who has a special need, including a behavioral or emotional disability." OCCL previously removed similar language from the regulations. The Committee recommends the Council consider supporting the re-introduction of this requirement, which specifies that staff must make efforts to accommodate children who require modifications in behavioral interventions due to a disability.

Administration of Medication:

With respect to the administration of medication (Section 63.0), OCCL will now require licensed centers to ensure that a trained staff member who has received a valid Administration of Medication certificate from OCCL is present at all times to provide routine and emergency medications to children. In Subsection 63.6, the regulations also state that "a licensee may administer medication to a child who has a medical need during child care hours that require the administration of medication by non-intravenous injection." This change is an important one that will help ensure that child care centers comply with the ADA by meeting the needs of children who require medication by non-intravenous injection, such as children with diabetes who need insulin. However,OCCL could improve the regulations on medication administration in several ways:

- One concern is that child care centers may interpret the language in Subsection 63.6 as meaning that they have discretion over whether or not to administer medication by injection. In order to comply with the ADA, child care centers must provide medications by injections (with the consent of parents and medical providers) unless doing so would cause a fundamental alteration to the program. Thus, as a general rule, child care centers should be administering medications by injections when parents ask them to. OCCL should consider adding a subsection to Section 63.0 that clarifies that medication administration must be part of the reasonable accommodations that child care facilities make in order to provide equal services to children with disabilities.
- OCCL should require licensees to develop and consistently implement a written policy on the administration of medication. The sections on Procedures for Initial Licensure (Section 7.0) and License Renewal (Section 8.0) do not seem to require licensees to submit such plans for approval. However,it seems that policies on medication administration must be included in the parent/guardian handbook (see Subsection 23.1.13). These policies should be written in a way that makes it clear that the child care center is willing and able to accommodate children with medication needs, including medication by non-intravenous injections. CLASI also recommends that OCCL require licensees to develop individualized written plans for providing medication to students who need them. The proposed regulations do not currently have such a requirement, but they state that licensees shall ensure that medication is given as prescribed (Subsection 63.3).
- The section on staffing (Section 26.0) should cross-reference Section 63.0 and note that licensees must also ensure that at least one staff member with a valid Administration of Medication certificate is present at all times.
- The section on personnel files (Section 30.0) should note that, if applicable, valid Administration of Medication certificates (as well as certifications for any other trainings concerning medication administration) must be included in the personnel files for each trained staff member.
- The section on field trips and program outings (Section 68.0) makes no mention of meeting the needs of children who require medication while away from the child care center. Licensees must have plans and policies to accommodate children with medication needs on any field trips, and medication administration must not be interrupted when children are on these

outings. The regulations should also state that licensees must not require parents/legal guardians to accompany their children on field trips to administer medications.

The committee recommends the Council endorse the proposed Delacare regulations for early care and education and school-age centers. However, they should consider asking for further revisions that clarify that providing medication by injections is generally not discretionary, but an integral part of providing reasonable accommodations for children with disabilities. Revisions should also address other important issues, such as ensuring that policies on medication administration are clearly conveyed to parents and that licensees have plans to accommodate children with medication needs on field trips.

3. Proposed OCCL DELACARE Regulations Re: Family and Large Family Child Care Homes, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 380 (November 1,2018).

OCCL also proposes to amend the Delacare regulations for family and large family child care homes. These amendments are largely similar or identical to the proposed changes to the regulations for early care and education and school-age centers. CLASI recommends that Council endorse the amendments but ask for the revisions described in our analysis above.

4. Proposed DDOE Regulation Approval of Educator Preparation Programs, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 333 (November 1,2018)

House Bill 433 amended 14 *Del*. C. § 1260 to require Alternative Route for Teacher Licensure and Certification ("ARTC") programs to provide two types of training to program participants: (1) "a seminar and practicum" that includes "formal instruction or professional development, ... supervised teaching experiences ..., and "orientation to the policies, organization, and curriculum of the employing district or charter school"; (2) a minimum of "200 hours of formal instruction, or equivalent professional development" addressing "curriculum, student development and learning ..., [and] the classroom and the school." House Bill 433 directed the Department of Education to create implementing regulations.

The current version of 14 DE Admin. Code 290.8.0 outlines the procedural requirements for becoming an approved ARTC program; it refers to 14 DE Admin. Code 1507 and "any applicable statute "for the substantive requirements of what types of supervision and training must be included in an approved ARTC program. The proposed amendment removes references to Section 1507 and "any applicable statute." It adds in two substantive requirements: (1) that ARTC programs formally evaluate participants;(2) that ARTC programs provide "a summer institute of no less than one hundred and twenty (120) instructional (clock) hours."

Sections 1507.4.0-7.0 contain functionally identical provisions to those inserted into the proposed amendment to Section 290. However, Sections 1507.4.0-7.0 also include additional substantive ARTC program requirements that are not in the proposed amendment to Section 290.

According to the synopsis of the proposed amendment, Section 290 "is being amended to align with changes made by House Bill 433... regarding g the criteria for the alternative routes for teacher licensure and certification program." However, the proposed amendment does include the 14*Del*. C.

§1260 statutory requirement that an ARTC program must include a minimum of 200 professional development hours; this requirement is found, however, in Section 1507.4.4.

It seems most logical to either move all substantive ARTC program criteria from Section 1507 into Section 290, or to amend Section 1507 and incorporate it into Section 290 through reference.

The committee noted that the Council may wish to approve this amendment, while seeking clarification on how 14 DE Admin. Code 1507 and 14 DE Admin. Code 290 will work together. If the Department intends for Section 290 to include an exhaustive list of the statutory requirements for an ARTC program, it may wish to include the 200-hour professional development requirement.

5. Proposed DDOE Regulation on reporting expulsions to DMV, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 337).

House Bill 402 repealed a law which prevented the Division of Motor Vehicles from issuing driver's licenses to students who were expelled from public school. It also eliminated the requirement that public school superintendents inform the Division of Motor Vehicles of student expulsions.

The proposed amendment updates 14 DE Admin. Code 616 in light of House Bill 402; it eliminates the requirement that public school districts and charter schools inform the Division of Motor Vehicles when students are expelled.

The committee suggests that the Council may wish to support this amendment as it endorsed the bill.

6. Proposed DDOE Regulation Related to Graduation Requirements and Diplomas, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 335 (November 1, 2018).

House Substitute 1 to House Bill 287 eliminated the Certificate of Performance that was previously awarded to students with disabilities who satisfied their Individualized Education Program ("IEP") requirements, but did not meet the criteria to be issued a high school diploma. Now these students will be eligible for high school diplomas called the State of Delaware- Diploma of Alternate Achievement Standards. House Bill 15 as amended by Senate Amendment 1 allows high school students to count computer science classes towards the mathematics graduation credit requirement. House Bill 230 broadened the types of veterans that may be eligible for high school diplomas.

The proposed amendment to 14 DE Admin. Code 505 adds definitions that would allow students to use computer science coursework to meet the mathematics credit requirement for high school graduation, as required by the amended House Bill 15. The definition of veteran is updated to comply with HB 230.

The proposed amendment also outlines high school diploma credit requirements for students beginning with the graduating class of 2019. The proposed amendment incorporates the State of Delaware- Diploma of Alternate Achievement Standards.

Finally, the proposed amendment eliminates the Student Success Plans ("SSP") section. According to the synopsis, a new regulation on SSPs will be issued "in the near future." SSPs are developed with every student beginning in the eighth grade, and outline a student's post-high school goals along

with the steps necessary to help the student achieve those goals. There does not appear to be a statute that addresses SSPs.

The committee suggests that the Council consider supporting this proposed amendment, while seeking clarification on how SSPs will work until a new regulation is created.

7. Proposed Department of Insurance Regulation related to Short Term Health Insurance Policies, 22 Del. Register of Regulations 326 (November 1, 2018).

This regulation deals with short-term limited duration (STLD) health insurance policies. STLD policies were originally designed for people to fill a temporary gap in health insurance coverage. Under the Affordable Care Act (ACA), STLD policies are exempt from the market rules that apply to most major medical health policies. These include rules that prohibit medical underwriting, excluding pre-existing conditions, and limits on lifetime and annual coverage.

STLD polices are also exempt from the minimum coverage requirements of the ACA. The policies provided coverage for a limited period of time, usually less than 365 days and were not renewable.

The Department of Health and Human Services (DHSS) issued a final rule that applies to STLD policies sold on or after October 2, 2018. The final rule extends the coverage period in the initial contract to less than twelve (12) months and allows for renewals or extensions of the policies up to a maximum of thirty-six (36) months. The final rule only sets the minimum and maximum term of the contract and prescribes a notice requirement that must be given applicants. DHSS leaves it to the states to establish additional standards for the issuance of STLD policies.

Since the sale of the STLD plans can start soon, the Insurance Commissioner issued an emergency order establishing standards for the issuance of these policies. The Commissioner's concern was that the sale of these policies will take many healthy consumers out of the Health Insurance Marketplace (HIM), possibly resulting in an unhealthy risk mix and increases in health insurance premiums. This coupled with the reduction by Congress in ACA's mandate tax penalty to \$0 beginning in 2019, could result in more consumer purchasing STLD policies. This regulation was promulgated in response to the final rule by DHSS and was designed to implement consumer protections for the sale of STLD policies and to codify the standards contained in the Commissioner's Emergency order dealing with STLD policies.

The purpose of the regulation as stated in the synopsis is to "ensure that carriers offering STLD health insurance plans comply with minimum consumer protection and notification standards so as to partially prevent the erosion of the stability of Delaware's HIM and to protect Delaware consumer from being potentially mislead into purchasing a STLD health insurance plan without being fully informed of its coverage limits or applicability." The regulation does not apply to Medicare supplement policies and long-term care insurance policies.

The regulation applies to carriers, defined as insurance companies, health service organizations, managed care organizations, and any other entity providing a health insurance plan or health benefits. Health care services include medical care or hospitalization, services or supplies furnished to or incidental to the furnishing of medical care or hospitalization to an individual, and "services for the purpose of preventing, alleviating, curing or healing human illness, injury disability or disease." (§4.0).

The STLD policy cannot be issued for a period longer than three (3) months. The three-month term cannot be extended by re-issuing the same policy or by issuing a different STLD to the same individual more than once a year. The cost of the policy is to be offered at the "actuarially expected loss ratio of at least 60 percent." The Commissioner must approve the policy before it can be offered for sale. (§5.1 et seq.).

At the time of sale of a STLD policy, a carrier has to provide an "outline of coverage" and in most cases obtain a certificate of delivery unless the certificate of delivery describes the benefits, the exclusions and limitations of the policy, the non-renewability provisions, and the federal notice. (§§6.1, 6.2)

For policies commencing on or after January 1,2019, the mandatory language shall be displayed in the application materials in 14 point bolded font and shall include the following:

- This coverage is NOT required to comply with certain federal market requirements for health insurance, principally those contained in the AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.
- Be sure to check your policy carefully to make sure you are aware of any EXCLUSIONS or LIMITATIONS regarding coverage of PREEXISTING CONDITIONS or HEALTH BENEFITS (such as hospitalization, emergency services, maternity care, preventive care, prescription drugs, and mental health and substance use disorder services).
- Be sure to check your policy carefully to make sure you are aware of any LIFETIME AND/OR ANNUAL DOLLAR LIMITS on health benefits.
- If this coverage expires or you lose eligibility for this coverage, YOU MIGHT HAVE TO WAIT until an open enrollment period to get other health insurance coverage.
- This coverage is NOT "MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE." If you don't have minimum essential coverage for any month in 2019 or thereafter and the penalty for not having minimum essential coverage is more than the 2018 amount of\$0, you may have to make a payment when you file your tax return unless you qualify for an exemption from the requirement that you have health coverage for that month. (§6.5).

For policies commencing before January 1,2019, the mandatory language shall be displayed in the application materials in 14-point font and shall include the following:

- This coverage is NOT required to comply with certain federal market requirements for health insurance, principally those contained in the AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.
- Be sure to check your policy carefully to make sure you are aware of any EXCLUSIONS or LIMITATIONS regarding coverage of PREEXISTING CONDITIONS or HEALTH BENEFITS (such as hospitalization, emergency services, maternity care, preventive care, prescription drugs, and mental health and substance use disorder services).
- Be sure to check your policy carefully to make sure you are aware of any LIFETIME AND/OR ANNUAL DOLLAR LIMITS on health benefits.
- If this coverage expires or you lose eligibility for this coverage, YOU MIGHT HAVE TO WAIT until an open enrollment period to get other health insurance coverage.

• This coverage is NOT "MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE." If you don't have minimum essential coverage for any month in 2018, you may have to make a payment when you file your tax return unless you qualify for an exemption from the requirement that you have health coverage for that month. (§6.4).

The application form for an STLD policy shall ask whether the STLD policy to be issued replaces other accident and sickness insurance the individual has. (§7.1)

If the carrier is not a direct response carrier or its agent, a notice as prescribed by the Commissioner shall be given to the applicant prior to issuance of the policy. (§7.2). The notice is a warning that because of existing factors, the coverage available under the new policy may be different than the existing policy. For example, pre-existing conditions may not be immediately or fully covered under the STLD policy. The notice also recommends that the individual discuss

the proposed replacement with his or her current carrier in order to understand what replacing the present coverage means. (§7.3).

If the carrier is a direct response carrier, a notice as prescribed by the Commissioner shall be given to the applicant upon issuance of the policy. The notice is not required for the solicitation of "accident only and single premium nonrenewable policies." (§7.2). The notice gives the individual ten (10) days to decide whether to keep the new STLD policy. The notice gives a right of rescission to the individual. The notice is a warning that because of existing factors, the coverage available under the new policy may be different than the existing policy. For example, pre-existing conditions may not be immediately or fully covered under the STLD policy. The notice also recommends that the individual discuss the proposed replacement with his or her current carrier in order to understand what replacing the present coverage means. (§7.4).

The regulation takes effect ten (10) days after final publication in *the Register of* Regulations. The committee suggests that the Council endorse the regulation as it protects consumers, perhaps with a suggestion that the Department of Insurance engage in outreach activities to inform consumers of the disadvantages of these plans.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE

Council Chair Ann Fisher, in the absence of membership committee members, announced the retirement of member William P. O'Neill. She expressed the Council's great sadness to see Bill go and wished him well.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

There was no report at this time.

AD HOC COMMITTEE REPORTS

None at this time

OUTSIDE COMMITTEE UPDATES

Bill Doolittle advised that he attended the DOE budget hearing. He provided comments on his own behalf. Bill shared that the Special Education Strategic Plan Council was continuing to work on their initiatives. They have asked for money for a special education ombudsman position. The Special Education Strategic Plan Council had a presentation on a potential framework for special education certification program for Education Diagnosticians.

FINAL REPORT FROM THE CHAIR

Ann asked member to cast their votes for potential legislation at this time. It was advised that they should submit their top three priorities. Bill O'Neill questioned why only three. Wendy shared that since the GACEC would be working on drafting this legislation and we are a small staff and Council we wanted to keep the number manageable. After some discussion it was decided that members should label their selections 1, 2 and 3 accordingly and then turn their ballots in to staff. Beth Mineo asked if Council was able to take votes via email and Wendy said that we do. Bill O'Neill shared that this is an issue that should be addressed in the bylaws. After some additional suggestions and comments it was decided that the vote would be taken tonight with those in attendance, and bring the issue of voting via email to the executive board for further discussions. Motion was made and approved to proceed with the vote on legislative issues this evening. A motion was made and approved to explore at a future meeting amending the by-laws so that individuals who were not in attendance could cast their votes via email. Ann reminded Council that January 31 will see the LIFE Conference in Dover and asked them to attend if they could. After the votes were tallied it was found that the third item was in fact a tie between the requirement to inform families, starting at age 14 of the alternative to guardianship at IEP meetings and the SLP in State tuition at the University of Delaware issue. It was decided that all four would be left on the table as items to be worked on. Wendy said that we would see how far we could take the issues and shared that we would need everyone's help to do this. Ann reminded members that responses to letters could be found at the back of the room. Also she gave a reminder to committee chairs that they are to turn in their committee meeting reports prior to leaving for the night. A motion was made to adjourn the meeting. The motion was approved and the meeting was adjourned at **8:49 p.m**. There is no meeting in December. Happy holidays.